

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/651,854	MORAN, DOUGLAS B	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) William James.

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2005

Time: 1:30 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that a terminal disclaimer had been received and approved, and that the application would become allowable with a change to the specification noted in the most recent office action. Attorney James stated that an amendment might have already been sent in..